

# Hidden markov model

Victor Kitov

## Markov model

- $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N$  - some random sequence

$$p(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) = p(z_1)p(z_2|z_1)p(z_3|z_1, z_2)\dots p(z_N|z_1\dots z_{N-1})$$

- Markov model of order  $k$ :

$$p(z_n|z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = p(z_n|z_{n-k}\dots z_{n-1})$$

- it is simpler
  - but easier to estimate
- Markov model of order  $k$  corresponds to Markov model of order 1, if we consider sequences of length  $k$ :

$$z_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{z}_{n-1} = (z_{n-1}, \dots, z_{n-k})$$

So its enough to consider only Markov sequences of order 1 (with larger set of states).

## Hidden Markov model

At  $t = 1$  HMM is in some random state with probability

$$p(y_1 = i) = \pi_i$$

For each time  $t = 1, 2, \dots$  HMM:

- is in some hidden state  $y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, S\}$
- generates some observable output  $x_t$  with probability  $p(x_t | y_t) = b_{y_t}(x_t)$
- From  $t$  to  $t + 1$  HMM changes state with probability transition matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^S$ :

$$a_{ij} = p(y_{t+1} = j | y_t = i)$$

## Definitions

- We will consider  $x_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$ , then  $b_y(x)$  corresponds to matrix  $B = \{b_{ir}\}_{i=1, \dots, S}^{r=1, \dots, R}$
- Parameters of HMM  $\theta = \{\pi, A, B\}$ .
- Suppose our HMM process lasted for  $T$  periods.
- Define:
  - $X := x_1 x_2 \dots x_T$ ,  $Y := y_1 y_2 \dots y_T$
  - $X_{[i,j]} := x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_j$ ,  $Y_{[i,j]} := y_i y_{i+1} \dots y_j$

## Probability calculation

Then

$$p(X|Y) = \prod_{t=1}^T b_{y_t}(x_t)$$

$$p(Y) = \pi_{y_1} \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} a_{y_t y_{t+1}}$$

Together these two formulas give

$$p(Y, X) = p(Y)p(X|Y) = \pi_{y_1} \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} a_{y_t y_{t+1}} \prod_{t=1}^T b_{y_t}(x_t)$$

Problems occur when we need to calculate  $P(X) = \sum_Y p(X, Y)$ , because this contains exponentially rising with  $T$  number of terms.

## Forward calculation

- Define  $\alpha_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_1 \dots x_t)$
- We can calculate  $\alpha_t$  recursively:

$$\alpha_1(j, X) = p(y_1 = j, x_1) = p(y_1 = j)p(x_1 | y_1 = j) = \pi_j b_j(x_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{t+1}(j, X) &= p(y_{t+1} = j, x_1 \dots x_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^S p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j, x_1 \dots x_t x_{t+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^S p(y_t = i, x_1 \dots x_t) p(y_{t+1} = j | y_t = i) p(x_{t+1} | y_{t+1} = j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^S \alpha_t(i, X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

## Forward calculation

- Define  $\alpha_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_1 \dots x_t)$
- We can calculate  $\alpha_t$  recursively:

$$\alpha_1(j, X) = p(y_1 = j, x_1) = p(y_1 = j)p(x_1 | y_1 = j) = \pi_j b_j(x_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{t+1}(j, X) &= p(y_{t+1} = j, x_1 \dots x_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^S p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j, x_1 \dots x_{t+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^S p(y_t = i, x_1 \dots x_t) p(y_{t+1} = j | y_t = i) p(x_{t+1} | y_{t+1} = j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^S \alpha_t(i, X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Now its trivial to calculate  $P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^S \alpha_T(i, X)$ .
- Computational complexity of full forward pass  $X(TS^2)$ .
  - for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  summation over  $S$  terms for each of  $S$  states.
  - It can be reduced to  $TM$  where  $M$  is the number of non-zero entries in  $A$  if we set apriori some transitions as impossible.

## Backward calculation

Define

$$\beta_t(i, X) := p(X_{t+1}X_{t+2}\dots X_T | y_t = i)$$

As probability of empty event:

$$\beta_T(i, X) = p(\emptyset | y_T = i) = 1 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, S$$

We can calculate  $\beta_t$  recursively:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t(i, X) &= p(x_{t+1}\dots x_T | y_t = i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^S p(y_{t+1} = j | y_t = i) p(x_{t+1} | y_{t+1} = j) \times \\ &\quad \times p(x_{t+2}\dots x_T | y_{t+1} = j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^S a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j, X) \end{aligned}$$



## Properties of forward-backward calculation

$$\sum_{i=1}^S \alpha_t(i, X) \beta_t(i, X) = p(X) \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

$$p(y_t = i | X) = \frac{\alpha_t(i, X) \beta_t(i, X)}{p(X)}$$

$$p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j | X) = \frac{\alpha_t(i, X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j, X)}{p(X)}$$

- This calculation leads to numerical underflow as  $\alpha_t(j, X) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\beta_t(j, X) \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .
  - We can introduce new  $\alpha'_t(j, X)$  and  $\beta'_t(j, X)$  that don't tend to zero.

## Feasible calculation

Define

$$\alpha'_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i | X_{[1,t]})$$

$$\eta(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_t | X_{[1,t-1]})$$

$$\eta(X) := p(x_t | X_{[1,t-1]})$$

Then

$$\eta_1(i, X) = p(y_1 = i, x_1) = \pi_i b_i(x_1)$$

$$\eta_1(X) = p(x_1) = \sum_{s=1}^S \eta_1(s, X)$$

$$\alpha'_1(i, X) = \frac{\eta_1(i, X)}{\eta_1(X)}$$

## Feasible calculation

For  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T - 1$  :

$$\eta_{t+1}(i, X) = \sum_{j=1}^S \alpha'(i, X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1})$$

$$\eta_{t+1}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^S \eta(i, X)$$

$$\alpha'_{t+1}(i, X) = \frac{\eta_{t+1}(i, X)}{\eta_{t+1}(X)}$$

## Feasible calculation

Define

$$\beta'(i, \mathbf{X}) := \frac{p(\mathbf{X}_{[t+1, T]} | y_t = i)}{p(\mathbf{X}_{[t+1, T]} | \mathbf{X}_{[1, T]})}$$

These values can be calculated recursively

$$\beta'_T(i, \mathbf{X}) = 1$$

$$\beta'_t(i, \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^S a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \beta'_{t+1}(j, \mathbf{X})}{\eta_{t+1}(\mathbf{X})}, \quad t = T - 1, \dots, 1.$$

## Feasible calculation

$$p(y_t = i | X) = \alpha'_t(i, X) \beta'_t(i, X)$$
$$p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j | X) = \frac{\alpha'_t(i, X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1}) \beta'_{t+1}(j, X)}{\eta_{t+1}(X)}$$

## Viterbi algorithm

- Problem: for given  $X_{[1,T]}$  find maximum probable  $Y_{[1,T]}$ .
  - full search considers  $S^T$  variants, impractical!
- Define

$$\varepsilon_t(i, X) = \max_{Y_{[1,t-1]}, y_t=i} p(X_{[1,t]}, Y_{[1,t]})$$

- Viterbi algorithm:
  - forward pass: calculation of  $\varepsilon_t(i, X)$  for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, S$ .
  - backward pass: calculation of  $y_T^*$  and recursively  $y_t^*$  for  $t = T - 1, T - 2, \dots, 1$ .

## Viterbi algorithm

- Forward pass:

$$\varepsilon_1(i, X) = p(x_1, y_1 = i) = \pi_i b_i(x_1)$$

For  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{t+1}(i, X) &= \max_j p(X_{[1,t]}, y_t = j) p(x_{t+1} y_{t+1} = i | X_{[1,t]}, y_t = j) \\ &= b_i(x_t) \max_j \varepsilon_t(j, X) a_{ji} \end{aligned}$$

$$v_{t+1}(i, X) = \arg \max_j \varepsilon_t(j, X) a_{ji}$$

# Viterbi algorithm

- Backward pass:

$$p^*(X) = \max_j \varepsilon(j, X)$$

$$y_T^*(X) = \arg \max_j \varepsilon(j, X)$$

For  $t = T - 1, T - 2, \dots, 1$  :

$$y_t^*(X_{[1, T]}) = v_{t+1}(y_{t+1}^*(X_{[1, T]}))$$



## HMM vs. MEMM

HMM structure:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T} &= \operatorname{argmax}_T P(T|W) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_T P(W|T)P(T) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_T \prod_i P(\text{word}_i|\text{tag}_i) \prod_i P(\text{tag}_i|\text{tag}_{i-1})\end{aligned}$$

MEMM (maximum entropy Markov models) structure:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T} &= \operatorname{argmax}_T P(T|W) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_T \prod_i P(t_i|w_i, t_{i-1})\end{aligned}$$

where

- $W$  is the sequence of observable words
- $T$  is the sequence of not observable tags (parts of speech)
- $\hat{T}$  - predicted most likely sequence of part of tags

## HMM vs. MEMM

Comparison:

- HMM generative model
- MEMM-discriminative model
- MEMM allows easier addition of new features.